



98052. Microsoft may be served with process via its registered agent Corporation Service Company located at 211 East Seventh Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.

4. Upon information and belief, Microsoft Corporation (hereinafter “Defendant”) does business in the State of Texas and in the Eastern District of Texas.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

5. Uniloc brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, namely 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, 281, and 284-285, among others. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and 1367.

6. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On information and belief, Defendant is deemed to reside in this judicial district, has committed acts of infringement in this judicial district, has purposely transacted business involving its accused products in this judicial district and/or, has regular and established places of business in this judicial district.

7. Defendant is subject to this Court’s personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or the Texas Long Arm Statute, due at least to its substantial business in this State and judicial district, including: (A) at least part of its infringing activities alleged herein; and (B) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent conduct, and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods sold and services provided to Texas residents.

**COUNT I**  
**(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,804,948)**

8. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

9. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of the ’948 patent, entitled “SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INITIATING A CONFERENCE CALL.” A true and correct copy of the ’948 patent is attached as Exhibit A.

10. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '948 patent and is licensed substantial rights in the '948 patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements.

11. The '948 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

12. Defendant has and is directly infringing one or more claims of the '948 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claims 1-13, 18-30, 34-36, 38, 41-44, 47-52, 54, 58, 63-66, without the consent or authorization of Uniloc, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing a system, device, or method that practices one or more of the claims in the '948 patent. Defendant's infringing systems or devices include, as a non-limiting example, Microsoft Lync Server 2013.

13. Defendant has induced and continues to induce others to infringe the '948 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claims 1-13, 18-30, 34-36, 38, 41-44, 47-52, 54, 58, 63-66 without Uniloc's consent or authorization. Direct infringement has and continues to occur by activities performed by parties that have operated Defendant's infringing products. Such activities include, as non-limiting examples, operation of Defendant's infringing products by Defendant's customers.

14. Defendant specifically intended such parties to infringe the '948 patent, or, alternatively, has been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement. By way of example, and not as a limitation, Defendant induced and continues to induce such infringement by its affirmative action of at least providing instruction manuals on the operation of the infringing products. Additionally, through its sales and support activities and advertising of the infringing products, Defendant's specifically intended that its infringing products perform the methods recited in one or more claims of the '948 Patent.

15. Defendant also may be infringing the '948 patent through other unified communications platforms not presently known to Uniloc. Uniloc reserves the right to discover and pursue relief against all such infringing instrumentalities.

16. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, Uniloc and all predecessors in interest to the '948 patent complied with any such requirements.

17. Uniloc has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendant is, thus, liable to Uniloc in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

18. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringing the '948 patent, Uniloc will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

**COUNT II**  
**(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,853,000)**

19. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

20. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of the '000 patent, entitled "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INITIATING A CONFERENCE CALL." A true and correct copy of the '000 patent is attached as Exhibit B.

21. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '000 patent and is licensed substantial rights in the '000 patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements.

22. The '000 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

23. Defendant has and is directly infringing one or more claims of the '000 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claims 1-13 and 18-23 without the consent or authorization of Uniloc, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing a system, device, or method that practices one or more of the claims in the '000 patent. Defendant's infringing systems or devices include, as a non-limiting example, Microsoft Lync Server 2013 and Microsoft Lync Online.

24. Defendant has induced and continues to induce others to infringe the '000 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claims 1-13 and 18-30 without Uniloc's consent or authorization. Direct infringement has and continues to occur by activities performed by parties that have operated Defendant's infringing products. Such activities include, as non-limiting examples, operation of Defendant's infringing products by Defendant's customers.

25. Defendant specifically intended such parties to infringe the '000 patent, or, alternatively, has been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement. By way of example, and not as a limitation, Defendant induced and continues to induce such infringement by its affirmative action of at least providing instruction manuals on the operation of the infringing products. Additionally, through its sales and support activities and advertising of the infringing products, Defendant's specifically intended that its infringing products perform the methods recited in one or more claims of the '000 Patent.

26. Defendant also may be infringing the '000 patent through other unified communications platforms not presently known to Uniloc. Uniloc reserves the right to discover and pursue relief against all such infringing instrumentalities.

27. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, Uniloc and all predecessors in interest to the '000 patent complied with any such requirements.

28. Uniloc has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendant is, thus, liable to Uniloc in an amount that adequately compensates it for Defendant's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

29. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringing the '000 patent, Uniloc will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

**COUNT III**  
**(INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,571,194)**

30. Uniloc incorporates paragraphs 1 through 7 herein by reference.

31. Uniloc Luxembourg is the owner, by assignment, of the '194 patent, entitled "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INITIATING A CONFERENCE CALL." A true and correct copy of the '194 patent is attached as Exhibit C.

32. Uniloc USA is the exclusive licensee of the '194 patent and is licensed substantial rights in the '194 patent, including the right to grant sublicenses, exclude others and to enforce, sue and recover damages for past and future infringements.

33. The '194 patent is valid, enforceable and was duly issued in full compliance with Title 35 of the United States Code.

34. Defendant has and is directly infringing one or more claims of the '194 patent in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claims 1-16 without the consent or authorization of Uniloc, by or through making, using, offering for sale, selling and/or importing a

system, device, or method that practices one or more of the claims in the '194 patent. Defendant's infringing systems or devices include, as a non-limiting example, Microsoft Lync Server 2013 and Microsoft Lync Online.

35. Defendant has induced and continues to induce others to infringe the '194 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) in this judicial district and elsewhere in Texas, including at least claims 1-16 without Uniloc's consent or authorization. Direct infringement has and continues to occur by activities performed by parties that have operated Defendant's infringing products. Such activities include, as non-limiting examples, operation of Defendant's infringing products by Defendant's customers.

36. Defendant specifically intended such parties to infringe the '194 patent, or, alternatively, has been willfully blind to the possibility that its inducing acts would cause infringement. By way of example, and not as a limitation, Defendant induced and continues to induce such infringement by its affirmative action of at least providing instruction manuals on the operation of the infringing products. Additionally, through its sales and support activities and advertising of the infringing products, Defendant's specifically intended that its infringing products perform the methods recited in one or more claims of the '194 Patent.

37. Defendant also may be infringing the '194 patent through other unified communications platforms not presently known to Uniloc. Uniloc reserves the right to discover and pursue relief against all such infringing instrumentalities.

38. On information and belief, to the extent any marking was required by 35 U.S.C. § 287, Uniloc and all predecessors in interest to the '194 patent complied with any such requirements.

39. Uniloc has been damaged as a result of Defendant's infringing conduct described in this Count. Defendant is, thus, liable to Uniloc in an amount that adequately compensates it

for Defendant's infringements, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

40. Unless a preliminary and permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants, employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting in concert therewith from infringing the '194 patent, Uniloc will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

### **JURY DEMAND**

Uniloc hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Uniloc requests that the Court find in its favor and against Defendant, and that the Court grant Uniloc the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the '948, '000 and '194 patents have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Defendant;
- b. Judgment that Defendant account for and pay to Uniloc all damages to and costs incurred by Uniloc because of Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. Judgment preliminarily and permanently enjoining Defendant, its employees and agents, and any other persons in active concert or participation with it from infringing the '948, '000 and '194 patents;
- d. That Uniloc be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on the damages caused by Defendant's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein; and
- e. That Uniloc be granted such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper under the circumstances.

**Dated: November 13, 2014**

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott R. Maynard  
Scott R. Maynard  
Cal. State Bar No. 224932



(Admitted to the Eastern District of Texas)

**MAYNARD LAW GROUP**

4 Park Plaza, Suite 1260

Irvine, California 92614

Telephone: (949) 885-6084

Facsimile: (888) 292-9694

[Scott@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:Scott@EtheridgeLaw.com)

James L. Etheridge

Texas State Bar No. 24059147

**ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP, PLLC**

2600 E. Southlake Blvd., Suite 120 / 324

Southlake, Texas 76092

Telephone: (817) 470-7249

Facsimile: (817) 887-5950

[Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com](mailto:Jim@EtheridgeLaw.com)

**ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS  
UNILOC USA, INC. AND UNILOC  
LUXEMBOURG S.A.**